H. R. 4042

To require a report on the timeliness of processing applications for naturalization.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 16, 1994

Mr. Farr of California (for himself, Mr. Dellums, Ms. Schenk, Mr. Pastor, Mr. Torres, Mr. Becerra, Mr. Berman, Mr. Beilenson, Mr. Filner, Ms. Roybal-Allard, and Mr. Fazio) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

To require a report on the timeliness of processing applications for naturalization.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. REPORT ON TIMELINESS IN THE PROCESSING
- 4 OF APPLICATIONS FOR NATURALIZATION.
- 5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than January 31, 1995,
- 6 the Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization shall
- 7 submit to the Congress a report on timeliness in the proc-
- 8 essing of applications for naturalization. The report shall
- 9 include—

1	(1) information, described in subsection (b),
2	concerning timeliness in the processing of applica-
3	tions for naturalization;
4	(2) analyses, described in subsection (c), of the
5	reasons for any excessive delays in processing appli-
6	cations and of the resources needed to eliminate
7	such delays; and
8	(3) a plan, described in subsection (d), to elimi-
9	nate such excessive delays.
10	(b) Information in Report.—
11	(1) Excessive delay.—The report required by
12	subsection (a) shall include a statement of—
13	(A) the number of applications for natu-
14	ralization that were not approved or dis-
15	approved within 120 days of the date on which
16	the Immigration and Naturalization Service re-
17	ceived them; and
18	(B) the number of individuals who were
19	not sworn in as citizens within 45 days of the
20	date of the approval of their applications for
21	naturalization.
22	(2) Additional information.—The report
23	required by subsection (a) also shall include the
24	following:

1	(A) TIME TAKEN TO PROCESS.—A state-
2	ment of the average length of time that
3	elapsed—
4	(i) from the date that an application
5	for naturalization was received by the INS
6	to the date that the application was filed;
7	(ii) from the date that an application
8	for naturalization was filed to the date
9	that the applicant completed the interview
10	used to fulfill requirements of the Immi-
11	gration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C.
12	1101 et seq.);
13	(iii) from the date that the applicant
14	completed the interview to the date that
15	the application was approved; and
16	(iv) from the date that an application
17	for naturalization was approved to the date
18	that the applicant was sworn in as a
19	citizen.
20	(B) Number of applications in sys-
21	TEM.—A statement, for January 1, April 1,
22	July 1, and October 1 of each relevant year, of
23	the number of applicants—

1	(i) whose applications for naturaliza-
2	tion were received by the INS but not yet
3	filed;
4	(ii) whose applications for naturaliza-
5	tion were filed, but who had not yet com-
6	pleted the interview used to fulfill require-
7	ments of the Immigration and Nationality
8	Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.);
9	(iii) who had completed the interview
10	but whose applications for naturalization
11	had not yet been approved or disapproved;
12	and
13	(iv) whose applications had been ap-
14	proved, but who had not yet been sworn-
15	in.
16	(C) Number of applications re-
17	CEIVED.—A statement of—
18	(i) the number of applications for nat-
19	uralization that were received by the INS;
20	and
21	(ii) the number of applications for
22	naturalization that the INS expects to re-
23	ceive in each of the calendar years 1994,
24	1995, 1996, 1997, and 1998, and an ex-

1	planation of how the expected numbers of
2	applications were calculated.
3	(D) Adequacy of fees.—A statement
4	of—
5	(i) the amount of money the INS col-
6	lects by imposing fees for the processing of
7	applications for naturalization;
8	(ii) what expenses are paid with the
9	money from such fees; and
10	(iii) the cost of processing applications
11	for naturalization.
12	(3) Breakdown of information by office
13	AND YEAR.—The information required by this sub-
14	section shall be reported—
15	(A) by office, for each regional and district
16	office of the INS that is located in the United
17	States; and
18	(B) by year, for applications received by
19	the INS in the calendar years 1991, 1992, and
20	1993, except for the information required by
21	paragraph (2)(C)(ii).
22	(c) Analyses in Report.—
23	(1) Reasons for Delay.—The report required
24	by subsection (a) shall include a statement of the

1	reasons for the excessive delay reported under sub-
2	section (b)(1).
3	(2) Resources needed.—The report required
4	by subsection (a) also shall include a detailed list of
5	the budgetary, staff, and other resources—
6	(A) that are used to process applications
7	for naturalization; and
8	(B) that would be adequate to process ap-
9	plications for naturalization in a timely manner
10	(3) Breakdown of analyses.—The analyses
11	required by paragraphs (1) and (2) shall be re-
12	ported—
13	(A) by type of excessive delay, according to
14	the categories described in subsection $(e)(1)$
15	and
16	(B) by office and year, according to the
17	categories described in subsection (b)(3).
18	(d) Plan To Improve Timeliness.—
19	(1) IN GENERAL.—The report required by sub-
20	section (a) shall include a plan specifying how the
21	INS will process applications for naturalization in a
22	timely manner, including—
23	(A) how the INS will process applications
24	for naturalization that are received by the INS
25	after April 30, 1995, in a timely manner, tak-

1	ing into account the expected future increase in
2	the number of applications for naturalization;
3	and
4	(B) how the INS will process applications
5	that are received by the INS on or before April
6	30, 1995, in order to eliminate, by April 30,
7	1996, the backlog composed of individuals who
8	are experiencing excessive delay.
9	(2) Specifics.—The plan required by para-
10	graph (1) shall include—
11	(A) suggested methods to utilize existing
12	INS staff more effectively;
13	(B) an evaluation of the possibility of
14	using computer technology to improve the proc-
15	essing of applications for naturalization; and
16	(C) proposals for any statutory change or
17	other congressional action that the Commis-
18	sioner of Immigration and Naturalization be-
19	lieves is necessary to process applications for
20	naturalization in a timely manner.
21	(e) Definitions.—For purposes of this section:
22	(1) The term "excessive delay" means the fol-
23	lowing types of delay:
24	(A) A delay of more than 120 days be-
25	tween the date that an application for natu-

1	ralization is received by the INS and the date
2	that the application is approved or disapproved.
3	(B) A delay of more than 45 days between
4	the date that an application for naturalization
5	is approved and the date that the applicant is
6	sworn in as a citizen.
7	(2) The term "filed" means entered into a com-
8	puter system used by the INS.
9	(3) The term "INS" means the Immigration
10	and Naturalization Service.
11	(4) The term "timely manner" means without
12	excessive delay.

 \circ